

3 SHEET

47

ATION COMMISSION DUCKET CUNTROL CENTER

RECEIVED

CASE/COMPANY NAME:	DOCKET NO.	7081 NOV -2 P 11: 35	
Citizens Communications	RT-00000H-97-0	RT-00000H-97-0131	
		AZ CORP COMMISSION	
D/B/A or RESPONDENT:	• .	Labation	
		·	
	OR DESCRIPTION OF DOCUMEN' that describes the nature of the case/filing: NS	Τ	
NEW CC&N RATES INTERIM RATES CANCELLATION OF CC&N DELETION OF CC&N (TERRITORY) EXTENSION OF CC&N (TERRITORY) TARIFF - NEW (NEXT OPEN MEETING REQUEST FOR ARBITRATION (Telecommunication Act) FULLY OR PARTIALLY ARBITRATED INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (Telecom. Act.) VOLUNTARY INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT (Telecom. Act)	SITING COMMITTEE CASE SMALL WATER COMPANY – SALE OF ASSETS & TRANSFI SALE OF ASSETS & CANCEL FUEL ADJUSTER/PGA	CONTRACT/AGREEMENTS COMPLAINT (Formal) RULE VARIANCE/WAIVER REQUEST SITING COMMITTEE CASE SMALL WATER COMPANY -SURCHARGE (Senate Bill 1252) SALE OF ASSETS & TRANSFER OF OWNERSHIP SALE OF ASSETS & CANCELLATION OF CC&N FUEL ADJUSTER/PGA MERGER FINANCING MISCELLANEOUS	
02 <u>UTILITIES - REVISIONS/AMEND</u> PENDING OR APPROVED MAT APPLICATION		Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED	
COMPANY DOCKET NO.	PROMOTIONAL DECISION NO. DOCKET NO. COMPLIANCE DECISION NO. DOCKET NO.	NOV 0 8 2001	
SECURITIES or MISCELLANEC	OUS FILINGS		
O4 AFFIDAVIT 12 EXCEPTIONS 18 REQUEST FOR INTERVENTION 48 REQUEST FOR HEARING 24 OPPOSITION 50 COMPLIANCE ITEM FOR APPROVA 32 TESTIMONY 47 COMMENTS	43 PETITION 46 NOTICE OF LIMITED APP	38 NOTICE OF INTENT (Only notification of future action/no action necessary) 43 PETITION 46 NOTICE OF LIMITED APPEARANCE OTHER	
11/2/01 /Date	Curt Huttsell Czn Com Print Name of Applicant/Company/Cont	act person/Respondent/Atty.	
	Phone	Phone	



4 Triad Center Suite 200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180 (801) 924-6358 Telephone (801) 924-6363 Facsimile chuttsel@czn.com E-Mail

November 2, 2001

Ms. Sonn Ahlbrecht Utilities Division Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Docket No. RT-00000H-97-0137, Review and Possible Revision of Arizona Universal Service Fund Rules

Dear Ms. Alhbrecht:

Please include my name on the formal service list in the AUSF review docket, RT-00000H-97-0137. My mailing address is as follows:

Curt Huttsell Citizens Communications 4 Triad Center, Suite 200 Salt Lake City, UT 84180

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Curt Huttsell

Director, State Government Affairs

Arizona Corporation Commission
DOCKETED

NOY 0 8 2001

DOCKETEUS! June



4 Triad Center Suite 200 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180 (801) 924-6358 Telephone (801) 924-6363 Facsimile chuttsel@czn.com E-Mail

November 2, 2001

Docket Control Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007

Re: Docket No. RT-00000H-97-0137, Review and Possible Revision of Arizona Universal Service Fund Rules

To Whom It May Concern:

This letter constitutes Citizens Communications' ("Citizens") comments on the Arizona Universal Service Fund ("AUSF") rules, as requested by the Commission Staff in its September 20th memorandum from Mr. Steve Olea. Citizens agrees with the initial comments filed in this docket by the newly formed Arizona Local Exchange Carriers Association ("ALECA"), of which Citizens is a member. In addition, Citizens would like to supplement those comments in one important respect. Specifically, Citizens believes that access charge reform should accompany any modification of the Commission's AUSF rules.

AUSF Restructuring and Access Charge Reform

Statement of Issue

The most commonly understood meaning of universal service is the widespread availability of affordable basic local exchange service. In the high-cost, rural areas of Arizona, preserving and extending universal service has depended upon support from toll and access rates, particularly access rates. However, the support provided by toll and access is no longer sustainable in the presence of competition and changing federal regulatory policies. Equal access has already driven intraLATA toll rates closer to cost and substantially lessened their contribution to the affordability of basic service. Federal regulatory policy continues to reduce interstate access charges and widen the disparity between intrastate and interstate rates, setting up even more powerful incentives for interexchange carriers to misreport the jurisdictional nature of long-distance traffic. In short, competition and conflicting regulatory policies have already reduced the amount of support provided by toll rates and seriously threaten the adequacy of support from exchange access charges.

Recommendation

Because of the conflicts between federal and state regulatory policies, Citizens recommends the Commission consolidate this docket with its access charge reform docket (both dockets are currently open). At the very least, Citizens believes these two dockets should proceed along parallel paths. In either a consolidated or concurrent fashion, considering AUSF and access charge reform simultaneously allows the Commission to replace diminished support from exchange access with explicit support from universal service funding.

Benefits of Recommendation

Moving toward greater explicit support from the AUSF would contribute significantly toward the preservation of universal service in rural Arizona. Unless reliance upon access charges as a means of promoting universal service is lessened, widely available basic local exchange service at affordable rates is seriously threatened in high-cost, rural areas. Basic local exchange rates may have to rise significantly above current levels to replace traditional sources of support, or rural carriers, such as Citizens' three Arizona affiliates, may not be able to generate the funds necessary to maintain, improve, and expand their local operations. Greater AUSF support is a fair and effective explicit replacement for the current system of implicit support.

Conclusion

For all of the foregoing reasons, Citizens urges the Commission to proceed concurrently with universal service and access charge reform. Moreover, Citizens concurs with ALECA that AUSF support should become available to rural carriers, like its three Arizona affiliates, without the necessity of filing a rate case.

Sincerely,

Curt Huttsell, Ph.D.

Director, State Government Affairs